

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS OF SERIAL NUMBERS

José Galvão LEITE¹
Eliane Maria Brandemarte MOREIRA¹
Luis Aparecido MILAN¹

- **ABSTRACT:** Consider a random sample of random size of a sequence of items numbered from 1 to N . We propose conditionally UMVUE estimators for N , the variance of the estimator of N and a confidence interval for N .
- **KEYWORDS:** Serial numbers; conditionally UMVUE estimator; conditional confidence intervals.

1 Introduction

Consider a population of elements numbered from 1 to N , where N , the size of the population, is unknown. A random sample of size n is obtained, where n is random and $n \leq N$. Each element of the population has probability p , p unknown, of being selected for the sample. We are interested in estimating N , and p is a nuisance parameter.

As an illustrative example, consider the situation where someone is waiting for a taxi and taking notes of the numbers of those which do not stop. Provided that the taxis are numbered sequentially from 1 to N , and each one has probability p of passing by the point where the observer is, the problem is to estimate the number N of taxis available.

This subject was originally presented by (Ruggles and Brodie, 1947) during World War II for estimating equipment available to the enemy. Goodman (1952) treated this problem for a fixed sample size and Roberts (1967) provided an exact Bayesian approach considering informative stopping rules.

Here, we develop a UMVUE point estimator for N and obtain its conditionally UMVUE estimator for the variance and the interval estimate. We also develop

¹Departamento de Estatística, Universidade Federal de São Carlos - UFSCar, CEP 13565-905, São Carlos, SP, Brasil. E-mail: *leite@power.ufscar.br*

simulation studies in order to verify the performance of the method for estimating the population size for an example.

In Section 2, we define the UMVUE point estimator for N and obtain its conditionally UMVUE estimator for the variance. The interval estimate is derived in Section 3 and, in Section 4, a simulated example is presented. Some related results are proven in Appendix A.

2 Point estimation

Let $X_{(1)}, X_{(2)}, \dots, X_{(n)}$ be the order statistics for a sample of size n . The sampling distribution is

$$P(n, X_{(1)} = x_{(1)}, X_{(2)} = x_{(2)}, \dots, X_{(n)} = x_{(n)} | N, p) = p^n(1-p)^{N-n}, \quad (1)$$

where $1 \leq n \leq x_{(n)}$ and $1 \leq x_{(1)} < x_{(2)} < \dots < x_{(n)} \leq N$.

The likelihood function is

$$L(N, p | n, (x_{(1)}, x_{(2)}, \dots, x_{(n)})) = p^n(1-p)^{N-n}, \quad (2)$$

for $N \geq x_{(n)}$ and $0 < p < 1$.

Following are four examples of unbiased estimators for the population size:

$$\widehat{N}_1 = X_{(n)} + X_{(1)} - 1;$$

$$\widehat{N}_2 = X_{(n)} + \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=2}^n (X_{(i)} - X_{(i-1)} - 1) = \left(\frac{n}{n-1} \right) X_{(n)} - \frac{1}{n-1} X_{(1)} - 1;$$

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{N}_3 &= X_{(n)} + \frac{1}{n} [(X_{(1)} - 1) + (X_{(2)} - X_{(1)} - 1) + \dots + (X_{(n)} - X_{(n-1)} - 1)] = \\ &= X_{(n)} + \frac{1}{n} (X_{(n)} - n) = \left(\frac{n+1}{n} \right) X_{(n)} - 1 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\widehat{N}_4 = M + (M - 1) = 2M - 1,$$

where M is the sampling median.

Following, we show that \widehat{N}_3 is, conditionally in n , a Uniformly Minimum Variance Unbiased Estimator, (UMVUE), for N .

Theorem 2.1 \widehat{N}_3 , given $n \geq 1$, is UMVUE for N and

$$Var(\widehat{N}_3 | n, N, p) = \frac{(N+1)(N-n)}{n(n+2)}. \blacksquare$$

Proof: (i) Given $n \geq 1$, $X_{(n)}$ is a sufficient statistic for N . The conditional likelihood function given is

$$L(N, p | (x_{(1)}, x_{(2)}, \dots, x_{(n)})) = P(X_{(1)} = x_{(1)}, X_{(2)} = x_{(2)}, \dots, X_{(n)} = x_{(n)} | n, N, p).$$

From (1) and considering that the conditional distribution of n , given N and p , is Binomial(N, p),

$$L(N, p | (x_{(1)}, x_{(2)}, \dots, x_{(n)})) = \binom{N}{n}^{-1},$$

for $N \geq x_{(n)}$, i.e., the conditional likelihood function depends on the sample $(x_{(1)}, x_{(2)}, \dots, x_{(n)})$ only through $x_{(n)}$. By the factorization criteria, $X_{(n)}$ is conditionally sufficient for N .

(ii) Given $n \geq 1$, $X_{(n)}$ is complete for N . Suppose g is a real function such that $E(g(X_{(n)}) | n, N, p) = 0$, for any $N \geq n$. Then, for $N = n$, we have

$$E(g(X_{(n)}) | n, N, p) = g(n)P(X_{(n)} = n | n, N, p) = g(n) = 0.$$

For $N > n$, suppose $g(n) = g(n+1) = \dots = g(N) = 0$. Then

$$E(g(X_{(n)}) | n, N+1, p) = g(N+1)P(X_{(n)} = N+1 | n, N+1, p)$$

and since

$$E(g(X_{(n)}) | n, N+1, p) = 0,$$

we have

$$g(N+1) = 0.$$

Therefore

$$g(n) = g(n+1) = \dots = g(N+1) = 0$$

and, given n , $X_{(n)}$ is complete for N .

(iii) Given $n \geq 1$, \widehat{N}_3 is an unbiased estimate of N . From (14)

$$E(\widehat{N}_3 | n, N, p) = E\left(\left(\frac{n+1}{n}\right)X_{(n)} - 1 | n, N, p\right) = \left(\frac{n+1}{n}\right)E(X_{(n)} | n, N, p) - 1 = N.$$

Thus, from (i), (ii), (iii) and from Lehmann-Scheffé theorem we conclude that, given n , \widehat{N}_3 is an UMVUE for N .

From (16), the conditional variance of \widehat{N}_3 , given n , is

$$Var(\widehat{N}_3 | n, N, p) = Var\left(\left(\frac{n+1}{n}\right)X_{(n)} - 1 | n, N, p\right) = \frac{(N+1)(N-n)}{n(n+2)}. \blacksquare$$

Theorem 2.2 $(n, X_{(n)})$ is sufficient for (N, p) .

Proof: The likelihood function, equation (2), depends on sampled data through $(n, x_{(n)})$. By the factorization criteria, the theorem is proven. ■

Theorem 2.3 (i) The maximum likelihood estimator, MLE, of (N, p) is $(\widehat{N}, \widehat{p}) = (X_{(n)}, n/X_{(n)})$.

(ii) The conditional MLE of N , given n , is $\widehat{N}_c = X_{(n)}$.

Proof: (i) From (2) we have

$$\ln L(N, p | n, (x_{(1)}, x_{(2)}, \dots, x_{(n)})) = n \ln p + (N - n) \ln(1 - p),$$

for $N \geq x_{(n)}$ and $0 < p < 1$. Then

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial p} \ln L(N, p | n, (x_{(1)}, x_{(2)}, \dots, x_{(n)})) = \frac{n}{p} - \frac{N - n}{1 - p} = 0 \iff p = \frac{n}{N}.$$

Also, for $N \geq x_{(n)}$ and p fixed,

$$\frac{L(N + 1, p | n, (x_{(1)}, x_{(2)}, \dots, x_{(n)}))}{L(N, p | n, (x_{(1)}, x_{(2)}, \dots, x_{(n)}))} = 1 - p < 1,$$

i.e., $L(N, p | n, (x_{(1)}, x_{(2)}, \dots, x_{(n)}))$ is a decreasing function in N . Therefore, $(x_{(n)}, n/x_{(n)})$ is the maximum of the likelihood function.

(ii) From equation (7), the conditional likelihood function, given n , is

$$L(N, p | (x_{(1)}, x_{(2)}, \dots, x_{(n)})) = \binom{N}{n}^{-1},$$

for $N \geq x_{(n)}$. Therefore the maximum of $L(N, p | (x_{(1)}, x_{(2)}, \dots, x_{(n)}))$ is $x_{(n)}$. ■

Also, from (14) and (16)

$$E(\widehat{N}_c | n, N, p) = E(X_{(n)} | n, N, p) = \frac{n(N + 1)}{n + 1}$$

and

$$\text{Var}(\widehat{N}_c | n, N, p) = \text{Var}(X_{(n)} | n, N, p) = \frac{n(N + 1)(N - n)}{(n + 1)^2(n + 2)}.$$

2.1 Estimation of the variance of the estimator

Following, we present conditionally UMVUE, given n , for the variances of \widehat{N}_3 and \widehat{N}_c .

Lemma 2.4

$$(i) E(X_{(n)}(X_{(n)} - n) | n, N, p) = \frac{n(N + 1)(N - n)}{n + 2}, \text{ and}$$

$$(ii) \text{Var}(X_{(n)}(X_{(n)} - n) | n, N, p) = \frac{n(N + 1)(N - n)}{(n + 2)^2(n + 4)} [4(N + 1)(N - n) + n(n + 2)].$$

Proof: (i) From (14) and (15) we have

$$E(X_{(n)}(X_{(n)} - n) | n, N, p) = \frac{n(N+1)(N-n)}{n+2}. \quad (3)$$

(ii) From (10) and (Feller, 1968, chapter II, p.55), we have

$$\begin{aligned} E((X_{(n)}(X_{(n)} - n))^2 | n, N, p) &= \binom{N}{n}^{-1} \sum_{j=n+1}^N j^2(j-n)^2 \binom{j-1}{n-1} = \\ &= n(N+1)(N-n) \left[\frac{n+1}{n+2} + \frac{(N+2)(N-n-1)}{n+4} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

Using (3) and (4) we obtain

$$\text{Var}(X_{(n)}(X_{(n)} - n) | n, N, p) = \frac{n(N+1)(N-n)}{(n+2)^2(n+4)} [4(N+1)(N-n) + n(n+2)]. \blacksquare$$

Theorem 2.5

$$T = \frac{1}{n^2} X_{(n)}(X_{(n)} - n)$$

is conditionally UMVUE, given $n \geq 1$, for $\text{Var}(\widehat{N}_3 | n, N, p)$ and

$$\text{Var}(T | n, N, p) = \frac{\text{Var}(\widehat{N}_3 | n, N, p)}{n(n+4)} [4\text{Var}(\widehat{N}_3 | n, N, p) + 1].$$

Proof: From lemma (2.4) we have

$$E(T | n, N, p) = \frac{(N+1)(N-n)}{n(n+2)} = \text{Var}(\widehat{N}_3 | n, N, p).$$

Since, given n , $X_{(n)}$ is sufficient and complete for N (Theorem 2.1), by the Lehmann-Scheffé Theorem, T is a conditionally UMVUE, given $n \geq 1$, for $\text{Var}(\widehat{N}_3 | n, N, p)$.

Also, from lemma (2.4)

$$\text{Var}(T | n, N, p) = \frac{\text{Var}(\widehat{N}_3 | n, N, p)}{n(n+4)} [4\text{Var}(\widehat{N}_3 | n, N, p) + 1]. \blacksquare$$

Theorem 2.6

$$T_c = \frac{1}{(n+1)^2} X_{(n)}(X_{(n)} - n)$$

is conditionally UMVUE, given $n \geq 1$, for $\text{Var}(\widehat{N}_c | n, N, p)$ and

$$\text{Var}(T_c | n, N, p) = \frac{\text{Var}(\widehat{N}_c | n, N, p)}{n(n+4)} \left[4\text{Var}(\widehat{N}_c | n, N, p) + \left(\frac{n}{n+1} \right)^2 \right].$$

Proof: From lemma (2.4) we have

$$E(T_c | n, N, p) = \frac{n(N+1)(N-n)}{(n+1)^2(n+2)} = \text{Var}(\widehat{N}_c | n, N, p).$$

Since, given n , $X_{(n)}$ is sufficient and complete for N (Theorem 2.1), by the Lehmann-Scheffé Theorem, T_c is conditionally UMVUE, given $n \geq 1$, for $\text{Var}(\widehat{N}_c | n, N, p)$.

Also, from lemma (2.4)

$$\text{Var}(T_c | n, N, p) = \frac{\text{Var}(\widehat{N}_c | n, N, p)}{n(n+4)} \left[4\text{Var}(\widehat{N}_c | n, N, p) + \left(\frac{n}{n+1} \right)^2 \right]. \blacksquare$$

3 Conditional confidence interval

Given n, N and γ , ($0 < \gamma < 1$), let m the “least positive integer” such that

$$m \geq N \left(1 - (1 - \gamma)^{\frac{1}{n}} \right) \text{ or } \left(1 - \frac{m}{N} \right)^n \leq 1 - \gamma.$$

From (10) and (Feller, 1968, chapter II, p.55), we have

$$\begin{aligned} P(N \geq X_{(n)} + m | n, N, p) &= P(X_{(n)} \leq N - m | n, N, p) = \\ &= \binom{N}{n}^{-1} \sum_{i=n}^{N-m} \binom{i-1}{n-1} = \binom{N}{n}^{-1} \binom{N-m}{n} = \\ &= \left(1 - \frac{m}{N} \right) \left(1 - \frac{m}{N-1} \right) \left(1 - \frac{m}{N-2} \right) \cdots \left(1 - \frac{m}{N-n+1} \right) \leq \left(1 - \frac{m}{N} \right)^n \leq 1 - \gamma. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$N \geq X_{(n)} + m \iff N \geq X_{(n)} + N \left(1 - (1 - \gamma)^{\frac{1}{n}} \right),$$

it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} P \left(X_{(n)} \leq N(1 - \gamma)^{\frac{1}{n}} | n, N, p \right) &= P \left(N \geq X_{(n)} + N \left(1 - (1 - \gamma)^{\frac{1}{n}} \right) | n, N, p \right) = \\ &= P \left(N \geq X_{(n)} + m | n, N, p \right) \leq 1 - \gamma. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} P \left(N \leq \frac{X_{(n)} - 1}{(1 - \gamma)^{\frac{1}{n}}} | n, N, p \right) &= P \left(X_{(n)} \geq N(1 - \gamma)^{\frac{1}{n}} + 1 | n, N, p \right) = \\ &= 1 - P \left(X_{(n)} < N(1 - \gamma)^{\frac{1}{n}} + 1 | n, N, p \right) = \\ &= 1 - P \left(X_{(n)} \leq N(1 - \gamma)^{\frac{1}{n}} | n, N, p \right) \geq 1 - (1 - \gamma) = \gamma, \end{aligned}$$

implying that, given n ,

$$\left[X_{(n)}, \frac{X_{(n)} - 1}{(1 - \gamma)^{\frac{1}{n}}} \right]$$

is a confidence interval for N , with confidence $\geq \gamma$.

4 Example

We illustrate the application of the estimators described by simulating nine situations where $N = 1000$ and each item is observed with probability $p = 0.1, 0.2, \dots, 0.9$. The observed data is summarized by sample size, n and maximum, $x_{(n)}$, at Table 1.

Table 1 - Simulated data

p	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.6	0.7	0.8	0.9
n	110	212	299	402	448	600	711	806	897
$x_{(n)}$	1000	999	996	998	1000	1000	1000	999	1000

The estimates of N are presented in Table 2.

Table 2 - Point Estimates and 95% confidence intervals for N , given n

p	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.6	0.7	0.8	0.9	
\widehat{N}_3	1008	1003	998	999	1001	1001	1000	999	1000	
\widehat{N}_c	1000	999	996	998	1000	1000	1000	999	1000	
<i>Conf.Int.</i>	<i>Lower bound</i>	1000	999	996	998	1000	1000	1000	999	1000
<i>Conf.Int.</i>	<i>Upper bound</i>	1027	1012	1005	1004	1006	1004	1003	1002	1002

The estimated variance of the estimators are given in Table 3.

Table 3 - Estimated variances for estimators of N , given n

p	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.6	0.7	0.8	0.9
T	73.55	17.49	7.77	3.68	2.75	1.11	0.50	0.30	0.13
T_c	72.23	17.33	7.71	3.66	2.74	1.11	0.57	0.30	0.13

As expected the best estimates are provided by the greatest sample sizes, as we can see in Tables 1, 2 and 3, the least variances are associated with the greatest values of p and consequently the largest sample sizes. When comparing \widehat{N}_c and \widehat{N}_3 , we notice in Table 3 that they have a similar behaviour.

Acknowledgement

This study was partly supported by CAPES and CNPq, proj. 302813/2004-7.

LEITE, J.G.; MOREIRA, E.B.M. Análise estatística de séries numéricas. *Rev. Mat. Estat.*, São Paulo, v.23, n.1, p.81-91, 2005

- *RESUMO: Considere uma seqüência de itens numerados de 1 a N. Nós propomos estimadores UMVUE para o número de elementos, N, e para a variância do estimador, baseados em uma amostra selecionada ao acaso, de tamanho aleatório, destes itens. Um intervalo de confiança para N também é fornecido.*
- *PALAVRAS-CHAVE: Séries de números; estimador UMVUE condicional; intervalo de confiança condicional.*

Referências

BOSE, R. C. Error detecting and error correcting indexing systems for large serial numbers. *Rev. Inst. Int. Stat.*, Edinburgh, v.34, p. 334-340, 1966.

FELLER W. *An introduction to the theory of probability and its applications*. 3th ed. New York: John Wiley and Sons, 1968. v.1

GOODMAN, L. A. Serial number analysis. *J. Am. Stat. Assoc.*, New York, v.47, p.622-34, 1952.

GUMBEL, E. J. On serial numbers. *Ann. Math. Stat.*, An Arbor, v.14, p.163-178, 1943.

ROBERTS, H. V. Informative stopping rules and inferences about population size. *J. Am. Stat. Assoc.*, New York, v.62, p.763-75, 1967.

RUGGLES, R.; BRODIE, H. An empirical approach to economic intelligence in world war II. *J. Am. Stat. Assoc.*, New York, v.42, p.72-91, 1947.

Appendix

A.1 Conditional sampling distributions

The probability of observing n elements, minimum and maximum is

$$P(n, X_{(1)} = x_{(1)}, X_{(n)} = x_{(n)} | N, p) = \binom{x_{(n)} - x_{(1)} - 1}{n - 2} p^n (1 - p)^{N-n}, \quad (5)$$

for $1 \leq x_{(1)} < x_{(n)} \leq N$ and $2 \leq n \leq x_{(n)} - x_{(1)} + 1$.

The probability of n elements and the minimum for $1 \leq x_{(1)} \leq N$ and $1 \leq n \leq N - x_{(1)} + 1$ is

$$P(n, X_{(1)} = x_{(1)} | N, p) = \binom{N - x_{(1)}}{n - 1} p^n (1 - p)^{N - n}. \quad (6)$$

The probability of n elements and the maximum for $1 \leq n \leq x_{(n)} \leq N$ is

$$P(n, X_{(n)} = x_{(n)} | N, p) = \binom{x_{(n)} - 1}{n - 1} p^n (1 - p)^{N - n}. \quad (7)$$

The distribution of $n | N, p$ is *Binomial*(N, p).

The conditional probability of the minimum and maximum, given $n \geq 2$, is

$$P(X_{(1)} = x_{(1)}, X_{(n)} = x_{(n)} | n, N, p) = \binom{N}{n}^{-1} \binom{x_{(n)} - x_{(1)} - 1}{n - 2} \quad (8)$$

for $1 \leq x_{(1)} \leq N - n + 1$ and $n + x_{(1)} - 1 \leq x_{(n)} \leq N$.

The conditional probability of the minimum, given $n \geq 1$, is

$$P(X_{(1)} = x_{(1)} | n, N, p) = \frac{P(n, X_{(1)} = x_{(1)} | N, p)}{P(n | N, p)} = \binom{N}{n}^{-1} \binom{N - x_{(1)}}{n - 1} \quad (9)$$

for $1 \leq x_{(1)} \leq N - n + 1$.

The conditional probability of the maximum, given $n \geq 1$ is

$$P(X_{(n)} = x_{(n)} | n, N, p) = \frac{P(n, X_{(n)} = x_{(n)} | N, p)}{P(n | N, p)} = \binom{N}{n}^{-1} \binom{x_{(n)} - 1}{n - 1} \quad (10)$$

and $n \leq x_{(n)} \leq N$,

A.2 Properties of sampling distributions

Theorem 4.1 *The conditional mean and variance of $X_{(1)}$, given $n \geq 1$, are*

$$(i) E(X_{(1)} | n, N, p) = \frac{N + 1}{n + 1} \text{ and } (ii) Var(X_{(1)} | n, N, p) = \frac{n(N + 1)(N - n)}{(n + 1)^2(n + 2)}.$$

Proof: (i) From (9) and (Feller, 1968, chapter II, p.55),

$$\begin{aligned} E(X_{(1)} | n, N, p) &= \binom{N}{n}^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^{N-n+1} k \binom{N-k}{n-1} = (N+1)-n \binom{N}{n}^{-1} \sum_{j=n-1}^{N-1} \binom{j+1}{n} = \\ &= N + 1 - n \binom{N}{n}^{-1} \binom{N+1}{n+1} = \frac{N+1}{n+1}. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

(ii) From (9) and (Feller, 1968, chapter II, p.55),

$$E(X_{(1)}^2 | n, N, p) = \binom{N}{n}^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^{N-n+1} k^2 \binom{N-k}{n-1}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \binom{N}{n}^{-1} \left\{ \sum_{j=n-1}^{N-1} (N^2 - 2Nj) \binom{j}{n-1} + \sum_{j=n-1}^{N-1} [(j+1)(j+2) - 2 - 3j] \binom{j}{n-1} \right\} \\
&= (N+1)^2 - \frac{n(N+1)}{(n+1)(n+2)} [N(n+3) + (n+4)]. \quad (12)
\end{aligned}$$

From (11) and (12)

$$\begin{aligned}
&Var(X_{(1)} | n, N, p) = E(X_{(1)}^2 | n, N, p) - E^2(X_{(1)} | n, N, p) = \\
&= (N+1)^2 - \frac{n(N+1)}{(n+1)(n+2)} [N(n+3) + (n+4)] - \frac{(N+1)^2}{(n+1)^2} = \frac{n(N+1)(N-n)}{(n+1)^2(n+2)}. \blacksquare \quad (13)
\end{aligned}$$

Theorem 4.2 *The conditional mean and variance of $X_{(n)}$, given $n \geq 1$, are*

$$(i) E(X_{(n)} | n, N, p) = \frac{n(N+1)}{n+1} \text{ and } (ii) Var(X_{(n)} | n, N, p) = \frac{n(N+1)(N-n)}{(n+1)^2(n+2)}.$$

Proof: (i) From (10) and (Feller, 1968, chapter II, p.55),

$$E(X_{(n)} | n, N, p) = \binom{N}{n}^{-1} \sum_{k=n}^N k \binom{k-1}{n-1} = \frac{n(N+1)}{n+1}. \quad (14)$$

(ii) From (10) and (Feller, 1968, chapter II, p.55),

$$\begin{aligned}
&E(X_{(n)}^2 | n, N, p) = \binom{N}{n}^{-1} \sum_{k=n}^N k^2 \binom{k-1}{n-1} = \\
&= \binom{N}{n}^{-1} \sum_{k=n}^N (k(k+1) - k) \binom{k-1}{n-1} = n(N+1) \left(\frac{N+2}{n+2} - \frac{1}{n+1} \right) \quad (15)
\end{aligned}$$

and from (14) and (15)

$$\begin{aligned}
&Var(X_{(n)} | n, N, p) = E(X_{(n)}^2 | n, N, p) - E^2(X_{(n)} | n, N, p) \\
&= n(N+1) \left(\frac{N+2}{n+2} - \frac{1}{n+1} \right) - \left(\frac{n(N+1)}{n+1} \right)^2 = \frac{n(N+1)(N-n)}{(n+1)^2(n+2)}. \blacksquare \quad (16)
\end{aligned}$$

Theorem 4.3 *The conditional covariance between $X_{(1)}$ and $X_{(n)}$, given $n \geq 2$, is*

$$Cov(X_{(1)}, X_{(n)} | n, N, p) = \frac{(N+1)(N-n)}{(n+1)^2(n+2)}.$$

Proof: From (8), (12) and (Feller, 1968, chapter II, p.55),

$$E(X_{(1)}X_{(n)} | n, N, p) = \binom{N}{n}^{-1} \sum_{k_1=1}^{N-n+1} \sum_{k_2=n+k_1-1}^N k_1 k_2 \binom{k_2 - k_1 - 1}{n-2} =$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \binom{N}{n}^{-1} \left[(n-1) \sum_{j=n}^N (N+2-(j+1)) \binom{j}{n} \right] + E(X_{(1)}^2 | n, N, p) = \\
&= (n-1)(N+2)(N+1) \frac{1}{(n+1)(n+2)} + E(X_{(1)}^2 | n, N, p) = \\
&= \frac{(N+1)}{(n+1)(n+2)} [(N+1)(n+1) - 1]. \tag{17}
\end{aligned}$$

From (11), (14) and (17)

$$\begin{aligned}
Cov(X_{(1)}, X_{(n)} | n, N, p) &= E(X_{(1)}X_{(n)} | n, N, p) - E(X_{(1)} | n, N, p)E(X_{(n)} | n, N, p) = \\
&= \frac{(N+1)}{(n+1)(n+2)} [(N+1)(n+1) - 1] - \left(\frac{N+1}{n+1} \right) \frac{n(N+1)}{n+1} = \frac{(N+1)(N-n)}{(n+1)^2(n+2)}. \blacksquare \tag{18}
\end{aligned}$$

Received 25.04.2004.

Received in revised form 07.04.2005.